

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/808,047	03/24/2004	Tony F. Habib	10577-155	4187
757	7590 06/28/2005		EXAMINER	
	OFER GILSON & LI	ONE	GANEY, S	TEVEN J
P.O. BOX 10			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO,	IL 60610	•	ARTONII	PAPER NUMBER
			3752	

DATE MAILED: 06/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<i>(</i> -			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/808,047	HABIB ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Steven J. Ganey	3752				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addre	ess			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory properties of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ren. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this comr ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on g	07 June 2004.					
	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice unc	owance except for formal matte	• •	nerits is			
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	ndrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.					
0) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to	- · ·	` '				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	= :	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for form a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been ireau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National St	age			
Attachment(s)	_					
1) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date				
Notice of Dialisperson's Patent Diawing Review (F10-946) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date		formal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)			

Application/Control Number: 10/808,047

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1, line 12, the recitation of the "upstream nozzle having a second geometry.....the downstream nozzle" is indefinite since it is the <u>second throat</u> having a second geometry different than the first geometry of the <u>first throat</u>.

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 1-15 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3, 6, 33-36, 42 and 45-47 of U.S. Patent No.

Application/Control Number: 10/808,047 Page 3

Art Unit: 3752

6,764,030. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they both claim a lance tube nozzle block for a sootblower comprising a nozzle block body, a downstream nozzle and an upstream nozzle. The claims in the instant application are broader in scope and are therefore, encompassed in the claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,764,030.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1, 7, 8 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by DE 137814.

DE 137814 shows a lance tube comprising all the featured elements of the instant invention.

7. Claims 1, 8, 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Shenker.

Shenker shows a lance tube comprising all the featured elements of the instant invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/808,047

Art Unit: 3752

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. Hammond, Freund and Booher et al show various lance tube designs with opposed

outlets.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Steven J. Ganey whose telephone number is (571) 272-4899.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday from 8:00

AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Dave Scherbel, can be reached on (571) 272-4919. The fax phone number for this

Group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

sjg

6/24/05

STÉVEN J. GANEY PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 4

AINIAHI EXAMIN